

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,522	12/14/2001	Cary A. Kipke	56963US002	9096
32692	7590 09/28/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			SODERQUIST, ARLEN	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743	
		DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/020,522	KIPKE ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Arlen Soderquist	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application abandone application and the control of the	ation. A proper reply to a			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the calculated from the control of the control of the calculated from the control of the calculated from the calculated from the calculated by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply se later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF) 	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in			
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		тите арреан.			
		see NOTE holow):			
 (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); 					
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in	• •	rially reducing or simplifying the			
issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	,				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: of the application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been considered reasons of record.	dered but does NOT place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-58</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
B. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement					
0. Other:					
	0018	dingan			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) ARLEN SOCERQUIST PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new issues relate to duplicate claims or failure to further limit the independent claims (claims 59-62) and claim 63 goes beyond the currently examined scope which brings the need for considering of clarity and additional search.